

Outline



• Introduction

= McCROMETER

- EDFAs In Fiber-optic Telecommunications
- Light Amplification in EDFAs
 - Physical And Mathematical Model
 - Experimental vs. Simulation Results
- ASE And Its Influence on EDFA's Gain
- Modeling Results Using Fractional Derivatives
- Conclusions

EDFAs in Fiber-Optic Telecommunications



EDFA is one of the most commonly used type of fiber amplifiers in both long-haul and metro optical networks.

= McCROMETER





* P.C. Becker et. al. *Erbium-Doped Fiber Amplifiers: Fundamentals and Technology*, Academic Press, 1999.
** AT&T Photo Archives



Excitation Levels Of Erbium Ions

Mathematical Model of Light Amplification in EDFAs



Model with three temporal and two spatial differential equations (Becker et. al. 1999)

$$\frac{dN_{1}}{dt} = \frac{N_{2}}{T_{21}} - (N_{1} - N_{3}) \cdot P_{p} \cdot A_{p} + (N_{2} - N_{1}) \cdot P_{s} \cdot A_{s}$$

$$\frac{dN_{2}}{dt} = -\frac{N_{2}}{T_{21}} + \frac{N_{3}}{T_{32}} - (N_{2} - N_{1}) \cdot P_{s} \cdot A_{s}$$

$$\frac{dN_{3}}{dt} = -\frac{N_{3}}{T_{32}} + (N_{1} - N_{3}) \cdot P_{p} \cdot A_{p}$$

$$\frac{dP_{s}}{dz} = (N_{2} - N_{1}) \cdot P_{s} \cdot K$$

$$\frac{dP_{p}}{dz} = (N_{3} - N_{1}) \cdot P_{p} \cdot K$$

Physical Constraints

= McCRON

- Number of ions on level E_2 must exceed the number of ions on level E_1
- Energy conservation is preserved (the sum of all derivatives is zero)



Reservoir of ions r(t) is defined as the total number of excited ions in the amplifier

$$r(t) = \rho A \int_0^L N_2(z,t) dz$$

Integration of pump and source powers over length of fiber yields an ODE over N_2 . Using the definition of photon fluxes the following absorption/emission model of ions is obtained^{*}

$$\dot{r}(t) = -\frac{r(t)}{\tau} + \sum_{j=0}^{N} Q_{j}^{in}(t) \left[1 - e^{B_{j}r(t) - A_{j}} \right]$$

*Bononi, Alberto; Rusch, Leslie A: Doped-Fiber Amplifier Dynamics: A System Perspective, Journal of Lightwave Technology, vol. 16, no. 5, IEEE, 1998. Zoran D. Jeličić, Nebojša Petrovački NUSOD 08

Experimental Results vs. Stastras ST Simulation Experimental efforts* suggested saturation phenomenon occuring in the amplifier; proven to be incorrect by simulation results Output power vs. Input power, pump power=40.6,30.2,14.6mW 20 12 $P_{ m out}$ (dBm) (for fixed wavelength of 1550nm) =100mA 15 10 =80mA Output power increases with pump power 8 10 6 pump1=50mA Output power (dBm) 4 2 0 -2 -4 -6 -8 -30 -25 -20 -15 -10 -5 5 P. (dBm) (for fixed wavelength of 1550nm) -10└--30 -25 -20 -15 -10 -5 5 10 15 20 * Performed at Optical Communications and Photonics Networks Group (OCPN) at UCSB Zoran D. Jeličić, Nebojša Petrovački 7 NUSOD 08

Amplified Spontaneous Emission In EDFAs = McCROMETER **Reservoir r, Total number of excited ions** Fluorescence + **Pump Photons** Pump Photons λ_{0} Out In G₁(r)-1 Signal Photons Out Signal Photons In -G_N(r)-1

- EDFA can be observed as a "hydraulic system", composed of a reservoir of charges (excited Erbium ions), which are ready to be converted into output photons by stimulated emission; reservoir is limited to the maximum number of excited ions in fiber
- All signals draw from the same reservoir of charges, number of ions drawn is G_i-1
- This phenomenon is called homogeneous broadening



- Oscillations in reservoir occur since the average number of input photons per second (signal photon fluxes) abruptly vary in time due to sudden addition of new or removal of existing channels (analogy: oscillations of water basin)
- Lower reservoir level causes variations of the gain and lower output photon fluxes, which is known as cross-gain modulation
- Also, as do most reservoirs, this one has leakage that is formed mostly due to process of fluorescence

EDFA Model With ASE

- NE PLANTENS
- Amplified spontaneous emission is another effect that occurs in fiber amplifiers that was not explicitly described in the above model

= McCROMETER

- *Stimulated* emission, which exploits the avalanche effect along the fiber core, provides the gain of the amplifier
- *However*, this gain needs to be lowered due to *fluorescence* (natural relaxation of ions); these ions still produce photons, creating EDFA's optical noise (ASE) (leakage of the reservoir)

Mathematical model of absorption/emission of ions in EDFA with ASE^*

$$\dot{r}(t) = -\frac{r(t)}{\tau} + \sum_{i \in \{S,A\}} Q_i^{in} [1 - G_i[r(t)]] - \sum_{i \in A} 4n_i^{sp} [r(t)] [G_i[r(t)] - 1] \Delta V_i$$

* Karásek, Miroslav; Bononi, Alberto; Rusch, Leslie A; Menif, Mourad: Gain Stabilization in Gain Clamped EDFA Cascades Fed by WDM Burst-Mode Packet Traffic, *Journal of Lightwave Technology, vol. 18, no. 3,* IEEE, 2000.

EDFA Model With ASE Control Systems Perspective



$$\dot{r}(t) = -\frac{r(t)}{\tau} + \sum_{i \in \{S,A\}} \mathcal{Q}_i^{in} [1 - G_i[r(t)]] - \sum_{i \in A} 4n_i^{sp} [r(t)] [G_i[r(t)] - 1] \Delta v_i$$

Nonlinear equation, due to a nonlinear gain of EDFAs: $G_i[r(t)] = e^{B_i x - A_i}$

- Control System's perspective: Nonlinear system with at least two physical inputs (a pump and an input signal), with pump power Q_p that can be manipulated up to an extent
- Use input pump flux as a control input u
- System is intrinsically stable

Simplified system without ASE looks as follows ٠

$$\dot{x}_{1} = -\frac{1}{\tau}x_{1} + x_{2}(1 - e^{B_{p}x_{1} - A_{p}}) + 20d(1 - e^{B_{s}x_{1} - A_{s}})$$
$$\dot{x}_{2} = u$$

ASE Influence On Gain of EDFA

Influence of ASE on the overall gain of EDFA is shown in the following graph



= McCROMETER :

Gain is decreased when ASE is included!

Zoran D. Jeličić, Nebojša Petrovački NUSOD 08

Fractional Derivatives In Modeling Of EDFAs



Idea: To model memory effect of ASE with fractional derivative i.e.

 $x^{(\alpha)} = f(t, x)$ $0 < \alpha < 1$ Bonnoni equation

 $x^{(1)} = f(t, x) + g_{ASE}(t, x)$

Motivation comes from the classical theory of fractional derivatives, where it is applied on the elements with memory (avalanche) effects

Nonlinearity due to ASE

Most common definition of fractional derivatives

Riemann-Liouville $0 < \alpha < 1$ $\binom{0}{t} D^{\alpha}_{t} x(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{x(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\alpha}} d\tau \quad 0 < \alpha < 1$ Note: $x^{(\alpha)} \to \infty$ when $t \to 0$ if $x(0) \neq 0$ So, this definition used only with non-zero initial conditions

Fractional Derivatives In Modeling Of EDFAs



$$x^{(1)}(t) = G_{int}(x(t), u(t), t)$$

Fractional-order differential equation (FDE) generalization

$$x^{(1)}(t) + k \Big({}_{0} D^{\alpha}_{t} x \Big)(t) = G(x(t), u(t), t) \quad x(0) = x_{0}$$

FDE assumptions

MC ROMETER

=3

- k = const
- Highest derivative is of integer order
- Non-zero initial conditions

EDFA ODE Model

$$x_{1}^{(1)}(t) = -x_{1}(t) + x_{2}(t)\xi(1 - e^{(B_{p}x_{1} - A_{p})}) + \eta(1 - e^{(B_{s}x_{1} - A_{s})}) + g_{ASE}(x_{1}, x_{2}, t)$$

EDFA FDE Model

$$x_1^{(1)}(t) + k ({}_0 D_t^{\alpha} x_1)(t) = -x_1(t) + x_2(t) \xi (1 - e^{(B_p x_1 - A_p)}) + \eta (1 - e^{(B_s x_1 - A_s)})$$

 $x_2^{(1)}(t) = u(t)$ Zoran D. Jeličić, Nebojša Petrovački



14

Fractional Derivatives In Modeling Of EDFAs



There is no general approach of solving nonlinear fractional differential equations; we use the following formula^{*}

$$x^{(\alpha)} = \frac{x(t)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}t^{-\alpha} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha-1)}\sum_{p=2}^{N}\frac{\Gamma(p-1+\alpha)}{(p-1)!}\left\{\frac{x(t)}{t^{\alpha}} + \frac{\widetilde{V}_{p}(t)}{t^{p-1+\alpha}}\right\}$$

Or, as in this particular case, modified series development, used in the simulations

$$f^{(\alpha)}(t) \approx \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \left\{ f^{(1)}(t) \left[1 + \sum_{p=1}^{N} \frac{\Gamma(p-1+\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)p!} \right] t^{1-\alpha} - \left[\frac{(\alpha-1)}{t^{\alpha}} f(t) + \sum_{p=2}^{N} \frac{\Gamma(p-1+\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)(p-1)!} \left[\frac{f(t)}{t^{\alpha}} + \frac{\tilde{V_p}}{t^{p-1+\alpha}} \right] \right] \right\}$$
$$\tilde{V}_p(t) = -(p-1)t^{p-2}f(t), \qquad \tilde{V}_p(0) = 0, \qquad p = 2,3,...N$$

 $\cdot V_p$'s are new variables needed to take FDE to an ODE

•Our numerical experiments yielded that seven of these variables is an optimal case for our application

•This is first applied to EDFA model (without ASE) and reported at SIAM 2007 *T.M. Atanackovic, B. Stankovic, An expansion formula for fractional derivatives and its application, *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis*, Volume 7, Number 3, 2004. Zoran D. Jeličić, Nebojša Petrovački 15

Main Result



• Assumed one light source (d=1) entering at 80mW

= McCROMETER

• EDFA ODE model (k=1) highly nonlinear; EDFA FDE model linearizes the model, more similar to experimental results



Conclusions



• This is an attempt to research physical layer of optical networks from systems' perspective

MCROMETE

- Already improved the gain of EDFAs applying nonlinear control techniques like feedback linearization and specific optimal control on ODE model
- FDE model applied here to further improve the model of EDFAs
- Optimal FDE model applied to optimize the model described here*

* Jeličić Z, Petrovački N: Optimality Conditions And A Solution Scheme For Fractional Optimal Control Problems, in *Journal of Structural and Multidisciplinary Optimization*, Springer, New York-Berlin, first published online at <u>www.springerlink.com</u> on August 22nd 2008

